

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,218	09/29/2000	Tomio Kimura	970113R/HG	7523
1933 7	7590 03/18/2002			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN &			EXAMINER	
LANGER & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
	NY 10017-2023		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1626	
		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
		¬	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_		ال	DATE MAILED:	15

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
is extended to run from the date of the Final Rejection
continues to run from the date of the Final Rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1. 192(a),
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2/21/62. has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. X They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/se-search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
Page 39 : The proposed amendment is confusing as it relates to page 39 : the addition of claims 14 - 80 (4 - 17) is unclear if sported the addition of claims 14 - 80 (4 - 17) is unclear if sported the application of the claims of claims of the application of the allowed it submitted in a separately filled amendment cancelling the sported of amendment cancelling the sported of the allowed it submitted in a separately filled amendment cancelling the sported of the spor
3. X Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment upwill be X will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this application would be as follows:
Allowed claims: Claims objected to: 16-24 and 44-79 Claims rejected: 1,6-15, 29-29, 31-33, 35-37, 39-41 and 43 However:
 a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other
some dains and adding Land. Stockto

LAURA L. STOCKTON, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

09 /678, 218